March 31, 2004

Mr. Jeffrey L. Moore Brown & Hofmeister 1717 Main Street, Suite 4300 Dallas, Texas 75201

OR2004-2580

Dear Mr. Moore:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 198539.

The City of Highland Village (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for access to e-mails received by the city's mayor and mayor pro tem from April 15, 2003 through February 6, 2004 in relation to specified topics. You claim that portions of the requested information are excepted from disclosure under section 552.137 of the Government Code. We have considered your claimed exception to disclosure and reviewed the submitted sample of information.<sup>2</sup>

The submitted information contains e-mail addresses obtained from the public. Section 552.137 makes certain e-mail addresses confidential. Section 552.137 provides:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We note that you originally requested a ruling from this office on January 22, 2004, asserting sections 552.107 and 552.137 of the Government Code as exceptions to disclosure. Upon receipt of the requestor's clarification of his request, you have withdrawn your argument under section 552.107 of the Government Code.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

- (a) Except as otherwise provided by this section, an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body is confidential and not subject to disclosure under this chapter.
- (b) Confidential information described by this section that relates to a member of the public may be disclosed if the member of the public affirmatively consents to its release.
- (c) Subsection (a) does not apply to an e-mail address:
  - (1) provided to a governmental body by a person who has a contractual relationship with the governmental body or by the contractor's agent;
  - (2) provided to a governmental body by a vendor who seeks to contract with the governmental body or by the vendor's agent;
  - (3) contained in a response to a request for bids or proposals, contained in a response to similar invitations soliciting offers or information relating to a potential contract, or provided to a governmental body in the course of negotiating the terms of a contract or potential contract; or
  - (4) provided to a governmental body on a letterhead, coversheet, printed document, or other document made available to the public.
- (d) Subsection (a) does not prevent a governmental body from disclosing an e-mail address for any reason to another governmental body or to a federal agency.

Gov't Code § 552.137. Under section 552.137, a governmental body must withhold the e-mail address of a member of the general public, unless the individual to whom the e-mail address belongs has affirmatively consented to its public disclosure. See id. § 552.137(b). You do not inform us that a member of the public has affirmatively consented to the release of any e-mail address contained in the submitted materials. We note that section 552.137 does not apply to a business's general e-mail address or to a government employee's work e-mail address. We further note that the requestor has a right of access under section 552.023 of the Government Code to his own e-mail address.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, it may not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 552.023 of the Government Code grants a special right of access to a person or a person's authorized representative to records that contain information relating to the person that is protected from public disclosure by laws intended to protect that person's privacy interests. If the city receives another request for this same information from a different requestor, the city should submit the information to us and request another ruling.

be withheld. The city must, therefore, withhold the e-mail addresses that we have marked under section 552.137. You must release the remainder of the requested information to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code

§ 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely, Cennifu & Herry

Jennifer E. Berry

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

JEB/sdk

Ref: ID#

ID# 198539

Enc:

Submitted documents

c:

Mr. Paul Lebon 2640 Hillside Drive

Highland Village, Texas 75077

(w/o enclosures)